

**COMMUTING OPERATORS AS AN INSTANCE OF ITERATIVE,
GENERALISED HASSE–SCHMIDT RINGS**

SHEZAD MOHAMED

In [1], Rahim Moosa and Thomas Scanlon define \mathcal{D} -rings, or rings with free operators. Fixing a base field k , a finite-dimensional k -algebra \mathcal{D} , and a k -algebra homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow k$, a \mathcal{D} -ring is a k -algebra R equipped with a k -algebra homomorphism $R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) = R \otimes_k \mathcal{D}$ which is a section to $\text{id}_R \otimes \pi$. This condition is equivalent to saying that a \mathcal{D} -ring structure on R is a finite sequence of k -linear operators ∂_i that satisfy some product rule that depends on \mathcal{D} . No other equations are satisfied by the ∂_i . In particular, they do not need to pairwise commute.

In section 6 of [3], the authors construct a generalised Hasse–Schmidt system $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ with an iteration system Δ (as defined in [2]) such that the Δ -iterative $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ -rings are precisely the \mathcal{D} -rings. This allowed them to use the geometric methods of Hasse–Schmidt subschemes they developed in [2] in their analysis of finite-dimensional minimal types in the theory $\mathcal{D}\text{-CF}_0$.

In this short note, I will construct a generalised Hasse–Schmidt system $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ with an iteration system Δ such that the Δ -iterative $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ -rings are precisely the \mathcal{D} -rings *where all the operators ∂_i pairwise commute*. The idea is similar to that of [3].

Fix a k -basis $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_l$ of \mathcal{D} . Given the standard k -algebra structure $s: k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and the residue map $\pi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow k$, define the following:

- $\mathcal{D}^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$;
- $s_{n+1} = s^{\mathcal{D}^{(n+1)}} \circ s$;
- $\pi_{n+1} = \pi^{\mathcal{D}^{(n)}}: \mathcal{D}^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$.

If we identify $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{D}^{(n)} = \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \otimes_k \mathcal{D}$, then $\pi_{n+1} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}^{(n)}} \otimes \pi$.

We now set up some notation. Let $I = \{(i_1, \dots, i_n): 0 \leq i_j \leq l\}$. For each $\underline{i} \in I$, write $\varepsilon_{\underline{i}} = \varepsilon_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon_{i_n} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$. Then $\{\varepsilon_{\underline{i}}: \underline{i} \in I\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$.

In [3], this $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ was too big; it did not take into account the fact that ∂_0 must be the identity. Here we have a similar issue: it does not take into account the fact that the ∂_i must pairwise commute (and have $\partial_0 = \text{id}$). So we define the equivalence relation \sim on I by $\underline{i} \sim \underline{j}$ if and only if they are the same tuple up to reordering. Then define

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \left\{ \sum_{\underline{i} \in I} r_{\underline{i}} \varepsilon_{\underline{i}} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)} : r_{\underline{i}} = r_{\underline{j}} \iff \underline{i} \sim \underline{j} \right\}.$$

For each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, define the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\sigma: \mathcal{D}^{(n)} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \\ \varepsilon_{\underline{i}} &\mapsto \varepsilon_{\sigma \underline{i}} \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that \mathcal{D}_n is the equaliser of the maps $(\Gamma_\sigma)_{\sigma \in S_n}$. Note that \mathcal{D}_n is the *setwise* equaliser of the $(\Gamma_\sigma)_{\sigma \in S_n}$. Let $F: \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be the forgetful functor from the category of k -algebras to the category of sets. Then F has a left adjoint: the free k -algebra construction. So F preserves limits, and thus \mathcal{D}_n must be the equaliser in Alg_k .

We now check that $\pi_n: \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ restricts to a surjective morphism $\pi_n: \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$, and that $\mathcal{D}_{m+n} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_m \circ \mathcal{D}_n$ as subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}^{(m+n)}$. Let $\Delta_{(m,n)}$ be these inclusion maps. Then it is immediate that $(\underline{\mathcal{D}}, \Delta)$ is an iterative Hasse–Schmidt system in the sense of Definition 2.17 of [2].

One then readily checks that an iterative $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ -ring corresponds precisely to a \mathcal{D} -ring structure where the operators pairwise commute.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rahim Moosa and Thomas Scanlon. Jet and prolongation spaces. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 9(2):391–430, 2010.
- [2] Rahim Moosa and Thomas Scanlon. Generalized Hasse-Schmidt varieties and their jet spaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 103(2):197–234, 2011.
- [3] Rahim Moosa and Thomas Scanlon. Model theory of fields with free operators in characteristic zero. *Journal of Mathematical Logic*, 14(2):1450009, 2014.